

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/762,677	04/05/2001	François Court	ATOCM-195	6526
23599	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
· ·	VHITE, ZELANO & B	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201	1772		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/762,677	COURT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT s, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been in the property (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/762,677

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

REPEATED REJECTIONS

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1, 2, 8-11, 13, 15, 16, 18 and 21-23 that was repeated in paragraph 6 of the previous Office Action mailed December 14, 2004 has been repeated for the reasons previously made of record.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 3-7, 12, 14, 17, 19 and 20 that were repeated in paragraph 7 of the previous Office Action mailed December 14, 2004 have been repeated for the reasons previously made of record.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 24 that was repeated in paragraph 8 of the previous Office Action mailed December 14, 2004 has been repeated for the reasons previously made of record.

RESPONSE TO DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.132

4. The declaration filed June 14, 2005 has not been considered since it is unsigned.

Applicant states that the declaration is unsigned in the last line of page 4 of the Response filed June 14, 2005. A signed declaration has not been entered into the IFW file as of the date of preparation of this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of record presented on pages 2-5 of the Response filed June 14, 2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Witschard does not teach the claimed A block, which is claimed as being compatible with the claimed fluororesin, in the third paragraph of page 2 of the Response filed June 14, 2005. However, as made of record in paragraph 15 of Paper 15, Witschard teaches

Application/Control Number: 09/762,677

Art Unit: 1772

the claimed A block at col. 8, lines 32-44. A block that corresponds to block A as claimed by Applicant falls within the scope of the teaching of Witschard at col. 8, lines 32-44: the lower alkyl ester of acrylic acid taught by Witschard at col. 8, lines 40-43 is compatible with the fluororesin, as evidenced at col. 12, lines 30-34.

In the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the Response filed June 14, 2005, Applicant makes textbook citations to support Applicant's argument that since "the use of" an alkyl ester of an acrylate or methacrylate "requires special handling and techniques" Witschard does not teach the claimed A block, but Applicant's textbook citations serve only to establish that one of ordinary skill in the art recognizes that the triblock copolymer claimed by Applicant can be formed under known favorable polymerizations conditions, e.g., in Applicant's words, "using specified initiators, solution concentration and reaction temperatures" in the case of polymethylmethacrylate.

In the first full paragraph of page 3 of the Response filed June 14, 2005, Applicant argues that Witschard's use of the term "comonomer units" in line 39 of col. 8 excludes a block of these comonomer units from scope of the teaching of Witschard, but the term "comonomer units" in fact includes the condition where the comonomer units are polymerized as a block. The "a" monomers, "b" monomers and "c" monomers which are polymerized to form an ABC triblock copolymer are all comonomers; one of ordinary skill in the art recognizes this. When read in the context of the condition where the comonomer units are polymerized to form a block of a triblock copolymer (a condition which falls within the scope of Witschard), the term "minor proportions" indicates that that particular block is smaller than the other two blocks of the triblock copolymer.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,677

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant's argument in the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 of the Response filed June 14, 2005 that "methacrylates and acrylates are distinct in terms of polymerizability" is off-point because methacrylic acid is an acrylic acid (see paragraph bridging pages 4 and 5 of the previous Office Action mailed December 14, 2004). Furthermore, the teaching in the Webster article that, in Applicant's words, "where polar functional groups are present, the polymerization tends to cease" is not a definitive teaching regarding the triblock copolymer taught by Witschard.

Applicant argues that the unsigned declaration filed June 14, 2005 (Applicant states that the declaration is unsigned in the last line of page 4 of the Response) states that Witschard does not teach the claimed triblock copolymer because the teaching of Witschard at col. 8, lines 35-44 "is simply an erroneous teaching" (page 4 of the Response; it is stated that the teaching of Witschard at col. 8, lines 35-44 "is totally wrong" in the last two lines of page 2 of the unsigned declaration); however, Witschard teaches the triblock copolymer which is claimed in claim 1 of the instant application for the reasons previously made of record. Regardless of whether or not the teaching of Witschard at col. 8, lines 35-44 is correct, Witschard teaches what Witschard teaches. Applicant has not provided evidence that objectively proves that the teaching of Witschard at col. 8, lines 35-44 "is simply an erroneous teaching".

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/762,677 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

date of this final action.

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is 571-272-1488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00am to 6:00pm and on alternate Fridays from 9:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter B. Aughenbaugh 08/18/05 ALDA

HAROLD PYON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER